Case 4:15-cr-00238-JSW Document 41 Filed 04/23/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 4:13-mj-71012-MAG-1
Plaintiff,) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
STANLEY LOGWOOD, Defendant.)))
Trial Act from April 23, 2015, to continuance outweigh the best interest of	record on 123, 2015, the Court excludes time under the Speedy 1, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served by the the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sing and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continu See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)	nance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (7)(B)(I).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreason	so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact hable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial its established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continutaking into account the e	nance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, xercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continu counsel's other scheduled See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(nance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given a case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continunce necessary for effective properties of the second	ance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
For the reasons stated on 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § y resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: April 23, 2015	
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	X THU WOR / L.

Attorney for Defendant